

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

The Examiner has rejected claims 1-32 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph. The foregoing amendments are believed to address all of the points the Examiner has made to provide clear antecedent basis for the terms therein. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner reconsider and withdraw this rejection.

The Examiner also has objected to claims 2, 3, 8, 9, 13, 14, 20, 21, 23, 24, 26, 27, 29, and 30. Applicant respectfully traverses this objection. Throughout the claims, there is reference to a first computer system and a second computer system. The terminology is believed consistent within the claims, and the dependent claims to which the Examiner has referred do indeed refer to either a first computer system or a second computer system as recited in the independent claims from which they depend. The undersigned has reviewed the usage in the claims, and believes they are indeed consistent. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner reconsider and withdraw this objection.

Request for Allowance

It is believed that this Amendment places the application in condition for allowance, and early favorable consideration of this Amendment is earnestly solicited.

If, in the opinion of the Examiner, an interview would expedite the prosecution of this application, the Examiner is invited to call the undersigned attorney at the telephone number listed below.

Application No. 09/895,055

Amendment dated May 9, 2006

Office Action mail date: February 9, 2006

PATENT APPLICATION

The Office is hereby authorized to charge any fees, or credit any overpayments, to

Deposit Account No. **11-0600**.

Respectfully submitted,
KENYON & KENYON LLP

Dated: May 9, 2006

By: Frank L. Bernstein
Frank L. Bernstein
Reg. No. 31,484

Customer No. **61023**

KENYON & KENYON LLP
333 West San Carlos St., Suite 600
San Jose, CA 95110
Telephone: (408) 975-7500
Facsimile: (408) 975-7501